IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE
WRIT PETITION NO.6093 OF 2006

Mrs. Sahanaj w/o Shoukat Shaikh .... Petitioner
Vs.
The Government of Maharashtra & Ors.... Respondents

Shri V.A. Sugdare for the Petitioner.

Shri R.D. Rane, GP, for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM: R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR &
V.M. KANADE, JJ.

DATED: SEPTEMBER 28, 2006

P.C:

The petitioner challenges the order dated 24-3-2006 by which the petitioner's services have been terminated. Undisputedly, the petitioner was appointed under the order dated 27-2-2004 for a fixed period of two years with the specific condition that her services can be terminated at any point of time by issuing one month's notice or on payment of salary for one month in lieu of such notice. Undisputedly, the services of the petitioner have been terminated in accordance with the said condition attached to the appointment order of the petitioner. There being no illegality committed by the respondents in terminating the services of the petitioner and the petitioner was employed for a fixed term, no interference is called for in the impugned

order. Hence, the learned Advocate for the petitioner also submitted that the petitioner has made representation to the respondents and direction can be given in that regard to the respondents to consider the same. The question of giving any such direction does not arise. If, however, the law permits, nothing prevents the respondents from considering the same in accordance with the provisions of law. With these observations, the petition is rejected.

(V.M. Kanade, J.) (R.M.S. Khandeparkar, J.)

sjs/928wp6093.6